

Fw: Animas ARR, Quickscore, and HRS schedule and priority needs

Sabrina Forrest to: Barry_Hayhurst

08/09/2011 08:17 AM

From: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US

To: Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com

Just a reminder of the email I sent to you a few weeks ago with my deliverable deadlines.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484
Fax: 303-312-6065
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 08/09/2011 08:15 AM -----

From: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US

To: chuck_baker@urscorp.com

Cc: Barry_Hayhurst@urscorp.com, barryhay01@hotmail.com, Joni Sandoval/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniel Heffernan/R8/USEPA/US@EPA, David Ostrander/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/13/2011 06:40 PM

Subject: Animas ARR, Quickscore, and HRS schedule and priority needs

Chuck, To follow on from our conversation this morning, I would like to be able to prioritize for end of July completions, the finalization of:

1. the ARR and
2. the Quickscore (2 scenarios; more info will be shared during our July 14 call with CSC).

The final ARR and Quickscore are critical for me to be sure that I am presenting the correct information to the HQ panel on August 2 and the Silverton community and our stakeholders August 18. After approval, I will need many extra hard and electronic copies of the final ARR to share with many stakeholders (BLM, USFS, State DRMS, WQCD, HMWMD, Silverton library, ARSG, TU, newspapers) so I will need START's help to get that completed and mailed too. We also need to have as complete and final as possible versions of any Quickscores for our site records and for our site decision purposes. If Barry returns from Montana, I would like that completed by the end of next week, even if it is not absolutely perfect.

Ideally, It would benefit the timing for the HRS package to complete the wetland delineation (to support HRS definition below) and fishery use and fish consumption verification in July or early August. Heavy afternoon rainstorms are typical for August, and if we need more source, surface water/sediment samples too, that

factor could impact the data. Both activities will need documented by START and will become primary references for the HRS package. If we need more samples, we will need to rush the results and validation.

In general, for July, and for the next several months, I will need the HRS package to also be a priority. I attached our schedule from EPA HQ. The biggie:

Sept. 29:	Deadline for initial submittal of HRS packages with all references to CSC
-----------	---

Barry will need to be available to sit on multiple calls with the QA contractor to provide technical support and to revise the HRS package, check on data quality issues, add other references/maps as needed. Megan may need to provide information regarding details on the sampling from last fall if the logbooks and photologs need more support.

Ultimately, the HRS Rule schedule is driving my needs until March 2012. Additionally, we may have one to two more sites needing HRS packages too.

Schedule for March 2012 Rules (includes all items HQ needs to publish FR)

Red = Regional deadlines Black = OSRTI deadlines *last revised 6/13/2011*

July 18:	Regions submit completed and DD signed Site Characterization Forms to HQ
Aug. 2:	NPL Listing Panel Meeting (Washington DC)
Aug. 22:	Panel Results Briefing for OSRTI OD
Aug. 29:	SARDB sends out narrative summary format/tips & old proposed narratives for sites going final (Yolanda)
Sept. 22:	Date by which we'd like to have AA's office feedback on sites (estimated date)
Sept. 22:	Regions begin Gov/State letter request process for sites approved by AA to proceed
Sept. 29:	Deadline for initial submittal of HRS packages with all references to CSC
Sept. 29:	Regions submit draft narrative summaries to HQ
Oct. 18:	HQ NPL coordinators send comments on narratives to Regions for revision
Nov. 7:	PDF narrative summaries, site characterization forms for OMB (Terry)
Nov. 10:	SARDB sends OMB site list to OSRTI management (Doug) and to Regions (Terry) as an FYI
Nov. 17:	SARDB submits OMB/site char. forms and narrative summaries to OMB for review (Doug)
Nov. 29:	Regions' deadline for changes to narrative summary – any changes from State review should be incorporated
Dec. 22:	All Gov/State concurrence letters must be in
Dec.	Date by which we'd like to have OMB approval

23	
Jan. 10:	SARDB sends FR site names to CSC for review to check site names/locations against doc records (Terry)
Jan. 10:	Send site name/location list to Regions for verification (Terry)
Jan. 10:	SARDB sends draft FR Notices to OGC for approval (Terry)
Jan. 12:	SARDB requests/assigns docket numbers from docket coordinator, Assem Akram (Terry)
Jan. 12:	SARDB reminds Regions to check/update site profiles & CERCLIS for current site status and sends list of sites to David Cooper, Kevin Hollingsworth (Randy, Terry)
Jan. 12:	Send narrative summaries to CSC for review (Terry)
Jan. 17:	SARDB verifies Congressional districts and Congressional names with Regions (Yolanda)
Jan. 23:	SARDB sends draft press/communications package to IPCO (Yolanda)
Jan. 26:	Deadline for final version (QA complete) doc record submittal to HQ/contractor
Jan. 26:	Deadline for OGC's concurrence on FR Notices
Jan. 30:	SARDB drafts Congressional letters and team does internal review of letters (Yolanda draft, all review)
Jan. 30:	SARDB submits FR Typesetting Request for funding (Terry)
Feb. 1:	Provide OSRTI management status of sites in proposed/final rules (Terry or David)
Feb. 1:	Deadline for IPCO concurrence on press/communication package & narrative summaries comments from AA's office (Need to get Deitz comments on summaries) (Doug)
Feb. 6:	SARDB sends draft Congressional letters to Randy Deitz for review w/ cc to Carolyn Levine (Yolanda)
Feb. 8:	SARDB starts rule on concurrence chain (Terry)
Feb. 8:	SARDB sends summary to AA's office on status of sites in proposed/final rules (Terry or David)
Feb. 8:	Deadline for comments on Congressional letters from Randy Deitz
Feb. 13:	Congressional letters printed on bonded paper (Yolanda)
Feb. 14:	Send FR notices to Leona Montano for review and send OSWER one-pager to Gerain (Terry)
Feb. 15:	OSRTI sends FR package to OSWER (Terry)
Feb. 15:	Delivered to OSWER along with FR package are: Congressional letters, narrative summaries & NPL 1-pager delivered to HQ Congressional Affairs Office (both electronic and hard copies)
Feb. 15:	SARDB sends press release and docket numbers to Regions (Terry)
Feb. 16:	HRS Packages must be completed and ready for docket

Feb. 21:	SARDB sends narrative summaries to contractor for PDFing with 508 compliance (Terry)
Feb. 22:	AA signature
Feb. 22:	SARDB sends press release and docket numbers to Regions (Terry)
Feb. 22:	SARDB verifies expected publication date with Leona Montano in FR office (Terry)
Feb. 22:	E-mail notification of signed rule & anticipated publication date to DD/BCs, Regions, OCIR, CSC & JSBDL (Terry)
Feb. 23:	CSC submits all docket materials to FDMS
Feb. 23:	CSC sends rule info for CERCLIS (site name, ID, location, scores, FF ind., action, docket #) (Terry/Randy)
Feb. 23:	SARDB reviews CERCLIS file & sends CERCLIS revision spreadsheet to OSWER/OSRTI/NPL Update (Randy)
Feb. 23:	SARDB sends web content to OSRTI Web/Intranet Change Mailbox and JSBRDL for posting on http://www.epa.gov/superfund/sites/npl/current.htm which contains PDF, GIF and HTM files with site info. related to the NPL rulemaking including narrative summaries, HRS doc. records, response to comments, etc. (Terry)
Marc h 1:	Publication of FR notice
Marc h 1:	E-mail notifications & FR Notices to NPL group, OSWER, OSRTI Managers, Press Office, DD/BCs, Gerain, JSBRDL (Terry)
Marc h 1:	E-mail notification of published rules to OMB and NR trustees (Terry)
Marc h 1:	FR notices to OSRTI Web/Intranet Change Mailbox and JSBRDL for posting (Terry)
Marc h 1:	SARDB sends FR notices to OSWER/OSRTI/NPL Update for CERCLIS revision implemented COB on the day of FR publication (Randy)
Marc h 2:	SARDB quality assures CERCLIS revision and takes corrective action as necessary (Randy)
Marc h 2:	SARDB verifies transmission to Hill of final rule under Congressional Review Act (Terry)

By the way, If you need this for the wetland expert who will conduct the delineation, Appendix A of the HRS Guidance has:

"Relationship between USFWS and 40 CFR 230.3 Wetland Definitions

The HRS uses the following definition of wetlands (40 CFR 230.3): *Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions.* USFWS uses the following definition of wetlands (for NWI maps): *Lands that are transitional between terrestrial and aquatic systems where the water table is usually at or near the surface or the land is covered by shallow water, and have one of the following three*

attributes: at least periodically, the land supports predominantly hydrophytes; the substrate is predominantly undrained hydric soil; and/or the substrate is nonsoil and is saturated with water or is covered by shallow water at some time during the growing season of each year.

The primary difference between the HRS and USFWS definitions is that under the HRS definition, wetlands must, under normal circumstances, support a prevalence of rooted emergent hydrophytes. Hydrophytes are a sufficient, but not necessary, requirement for the USFWS definition. Areas that under normal circumstances do not support hydrophytes may be classified as a wetland by USFWS, but would not be classified as a wetland for HRS purposes.

USFWS describes five categories of wetlands. Two of these fall within the HRS definition..."

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129
Direct Ph: 303-312-6484
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484
Fax: 303-312-6065
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.